STATE OF FLORIDA COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS

| VERONICA BELL OGBEIFUN, | | EEOC Case No. 15D201600255 |
|-------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Petitioner, | | FCHR Case No. 2016-00056 |
| v. | | DOAH Case No. 16-6584 |
| ONE HOPE UNITED, | | FCHR Order No. 17-069 |
| Respondent. | , | |
| | / | |

FINAL ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR RELIEF FROM AN UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE

Preliminary Matters

Petitioner Veronica Bell Ogbeifun filed a complaint of discrimination pursuant to the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, Sections 760.01 - 760.11, <u>Florida Statutes</u> (2015), alleging that Respondent One Hope United committed unlawful employment practices on the bases of Petitioner's race (Black) and sex (female) and on the basis of unlawful retaliation by subjecting Petitioner to more adverse terms and conditions of employment compared to Caucasian employees and by terminating Petitioner from employment.

The allegations set forth in the complaint were investigated, and, on October 11, 2016, the Executive Director issued a determination finding that there was no reasonable cause to believe that an unlawful employment practice had occurred.

Petitioner filed a Petition for Relief from an Unlawful Employment Practice, and the case was transmitted to the Division of Administrative Hearings for the conduct of a formal proceeding.

An evidentiary hearing was held by video teleconference at sites in Lakeland and Tallahassee, Florida, on April 4, 2017, before Administrative Law Judge John D. C. Newton, II.

Judge Newton issued a Recommended Order of dismissal, dated June 1, 2017.

The Commission panel designated below considered the record of this matter and determined the action to be taken on the Recommended Order.

Findings of Fact

We find the Administrative Law Judge's findings of fact to be supported by competent substantial evidence.

We adopt the Administrative Law Judge's findings of fact.

Conclusions of Law

We find the Administrative Law Judge's application of the law to the facts to result in a correct disposition of the matter.

We adopt the Administrative Law Judge's conclusions of law.

Exceptions

Petitioner filed exceptions to the Administrative Law Judge's Recommended Order, received by the Commission on June 13, 2017.

There is no indication on the exceptions document that it was provided to Respondent as is required by <u>Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.104(4)</u> and <u>Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.110</u>. However, the Commission published the document to the Respondent, and placed the document in the record of this case through the issuance of a notice of ex parte communication, emailed to the parties on June 28, 2017.

Respondent filed a response to Petitioner's exceptions.

Petitioner's exceptions document excepts to the contents of the following paragraphs of the Recommended Order: 35, 36 and 56.

In each instance, the stated exception takes issue with inferences drawn by the Administrative Law Judge from the evidence presented.

The Commission has stated, "It is well settled that it is the Administrative Law Judge's function 'to consider all of the evidence presented and reach ultimate conclusions of fact based on competent substantial evidence by resolving conflicts, judging the credibility of witnesses and drawing permissible inferences therefrom. If the evidence presented supports two inconsistent findings, it is the Administrative Law Judge's role to decide between them.' Beckton v. Department of Children and Family Services, 21 F.A.L.R. 1735, at 1736 (FCHR 1998), citing Maggio v. Martin Marietta Aerospace, 9 F.A.L.R. 2168, at 2171 (FCHR 1986)." Barr v. Columbia Ocala Regional Medical Center, 22 F.A.L.R. 1729, at 1730 (FCHR 1999). Accord, Bowles v. Jackson County Hospital Corporation, FCHR Order No. 05-135 (December 6, 2005), Eaves v. IMT-LB Central Florida Portfolio, LLC, FCHR Order No. 11-029 (March 17, 2011) and Taylor v. Universal Studios, FCHR Order No. 14-007 (March 26, 2014).

In addition, it has been stated, "The ultimate question of the existence of discrimination is a question of fact." Florida Department of Community Affairs v. Bryant, 586 So. 2d 1205, at 1209 (Fla. 1st DCA 1991). Accord, Coley v. Bay County Board of County Commissioners, FCHR Order No. 10-027 (March 17, 2010), Eaves, supra, and Taylor, supra.

Petitioner's exceptions are rejected.

Dismissal

The Petition for Relief and Complaint of Discrimination are DISMISSED with prejudice.

The parties have the right to seek judicial review of this Order. The Commission and the appropriate District Court of Appeal must receive notice of appeal within 30 days of the date this Order is filed with the Clerk of the Commission. Explanation of the right to appeal is found in Section 120.68, <u>Florida Statutes</u>, and in the Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure 9.110.

DONE AND ORDERED this 23 day of 4.2017. FOR THE FLORIDA COMMISSION ON HUMAN RELATIONS:

Commissioner Rebecca Steele, Panel Chairperson; Commissioner Derick Daniel; and Commissioner Latanya Peterson

Filed this 33 day of dugat, 2017, in Tallahassee, Florida.

Clerk

Commission on Human Relations 4075 Esplanade Way, Room 110 Tallahassee, FL 32399 (850) 488-7082

Copies furnished to:

Veronica Bell Ogbeifun 1012 Kentucky Street Haines City, FL 33844

One Hope United c/o Andrew R. Lincoln, Esq. c/o Laura E. Prather, Esq. c/o Nicole Santamaria, Esq. Jackson Lewis P.C. 100 South Ashley Dr., Ste. 2200 Tampa, FL 33602 FCHR Order No. 17-069 Page 4

John D. C. Newton, II, Administrative Law Judge, DOAH

James Mallue, Legal Advisor for Commission Panel

Clerk of the Commission

Florida Commission on Human Relations